

# Least Squares Estimation

- Consider an system of  $m$  equations in  $n$  unknown, with  $m > n$ , of the form

$$y = Ax.$$

- Assume that the system is **inconsistent**: there are more equations than unknowns, and these equations are non linear combinations of one another.
- In these conditions, there is no  $x$  such that  $y - Ax = 0$ . However, one can write  $e = y - Ax$ , and find  $x$  that minimizes  $\|e\|$ .
- In particular, the problem

$$\min_x \|e\|_2 = \min_x \|y - Ax\|_2$$

is a least squares problem. The optimal  $x$  is the **least squares estimate**.

# Computing the Least-Square Estimate

- The set  $M := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^m : z = Ax, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , called the **range** of  $A$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ , i.e., the set of all vectors that can be obtained by linear combinations of the columns of  $A$ .
- Recall the projection theorem. Now we are looking for the element of  $M$  that is “closest” to  $y$ , in terms of 2-norm. We know the solution is such that

$$e = (y - A\hat{x}) \perp \mathcal{R}(A).$$

- In particular, if  $a_i$  is the  $i$ -th column of  $A$ , it is also the case that

$$\begin{aligned} (y - A\hat{x}) \perp \mathcal{R}(A) &\Leftrightarrow a_i'(y - A\hat{x}) = 0, & i = 1, \dots, n \\ &A'(y - A\hat{x}) = 0 \\ &A'A\hat{x} = A'y \end{aligned}$$

- $A'A$  is a  $n \times n$  matrix; is it invertible? If it were, then at this point it is easy to recover the least-square solution as

$$\hat{x} = (A'A)^{-1}A'y.$$

# The Gram product

- Let us take a more abstract look at this problem, e.g., to address the case that the data vector  $y$  is infinite-dimensional.
- Given an array of  $n_A$  vectors  $A = [a_1 | \dots | a_{n_A}]$ , and an array of  $n_B$  vectors  $B = [b_1 | \dots | b_{n_B}]$ , both from an inner vector space  $V$ , define the **Gram Product**  $\langle A, B \rangle$  as a  $n_A \times n_B$  matrix such that its  $(i, j)$  entry is  $\langle a_i, b_j \rangle$ .
- For the usual Euclidean inner product in an  $m$ -dimensional space,

$$\langle A, B \rangle = A'B.$$

- Symmetry and linearity of the inner product imply symmetry and linearity of the Gram product.

# The Least Squares Estimation Problem

- Consider again the problem of computing

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \underbrace{\|y - Ax\|}_e = \min_{\hat{y} \in \mathcal{R}(A)} \|y - \hat{y}\|.$$

- $y$  can be an infinite-dimensional vector—as long as  $n$  is finite.
- We assume that the columns of  $A = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$  are independent.

## Lemma (Gram matrix)

*The columns of a matrix  $A$  are independent  $\Leftrightarrow \langle A, A \rangle$  is invertible.*

**Proof**— If the columns are dependent, then there is  $\eta \neq 0$  such that  $A\eta = \sum_j a_j \eta_j = 0$ . But then  $\sum_j \langle a_i, a_j \rangle \eta_j = 0$  by the linearity of inner product. That is,  $\langle A, A \rangle \eta = 0$ , and hence  $\langle A, A \rangle$  is not invertible.

Conversely, if  $\langle A, A \rangle$  is not invertible, then  $\langle A, A \rangle \eta = 0$  for some  $\eta \neq 0$ . In other words  $\eta' \langle A, A \rangle \eta = 0$ , and hence  $A\eta = 0$ .

# The Projection theorem and least squares estimation 1

- $y$  has a unique decomposition  $y = y_1 + y_2$ , where  $y_1 \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ , and  $y_2 \in \mathcal{R}^\perp(A)$ .
- To find this decomposition, let  $y_1 = A\alpha$ , for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, ensure that  $y_2 = y - y_1 \in \mathcal{R}^\perp(A)$ . For this to be true,

$$\langle a_i, y - A\alpha \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

i.e.,

$$\langle A, y - A\alpha \rangle = 0.$$

- Rearranging, we get

$$\langle A, A \rangle \alpha = \langle A, y \rangle$$

- if the columns of  $A$  are independent,

$$\alpha = \langle A, A \rangle^{-1} \langle A, y \rangle$$

# The Projection theorem and least squares estimation 2

- Decompose  $e = e_1 + e_2$  similarly ( $e_1 \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ , and  $e_2 \in \mathcal{R}^\perp(A)$ ).
- Note  $\|e\|^2 = \|e_1\|^2 + \|e_2\|^2$ .
- Rewrite  $e = y - Ax$  as

$$e_1 + e_2 = y_1 + y_2 - Ax,$$

i.e.,

$$e_2 - y_2 = y_1 - e_1 - Ax.$$

- Each side must be 0, since they are on orthogonal subspaces!
- $e_2 = y_2$ —can't do anything about it.
- $e_1 = y_1 - Ax = A(\alpha - x)$ —minimize by choosing  $x = \alpha$ . In other words

$$\hat{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T y.$$

# Examples

- If  $y, e \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and it is desired to minimize  $\|e\|^2 = e'e = \sum_{i=1}^m |e_i|^2$ , then

$$\hat{x} = (A'A)^{-1}A'y$$

(If the columns of  $A$  are mutually orthogonal,  $A'A$  is diagonal, and inversion is easy)

- if  $y, e \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and it is desired to minimize  $e'Se$ , where  $S$  is a Hermitian, positive-definite matrix, then

$$\hat{x} = (A'SA)^{-1}A'Sy.$$

- Note that if  $S$  is diagonal, then  $e'Se = \sum_{i=1}^m s_{ii}|e_i|^2$ , i.e., we are minimizing a weighted least square criterion. A large  $s_{ii}$  penalizes the  $i$ -th component of the error more relative to the others.
- In a general stochastic setting, the weight matrix  $S$  should be related to the noise covariance, i.e.,

$$S = (E[ee'])^{-1}.$$