


EE 508

Lecture 9


The Approximation Problem

Collocation

Collocation is the fitting of a function to a set of points (or measurements) so that the function agrees with the sample at each point in the set.



Often consider critically constrained functions



The function that is of interest for using collocation when addressing the approximation problem is $H_A(\omega^2)$

Review from Last Time

Collocation

Applying to $H_A(\omega^2)$

$$\{(\omega_1, y_1), (\omega_2, y_2) \dots (\omega_k, y_k)\} \quad H_A(\omega^2) = \frac{a_0 + a_1\omega^2 + a_2\omega^4 + \dots + a_m\omega^{2m}}{1 + b_1\omega^2 + b_2\omega^4 + \dots + b_n\omega^{2n}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ y_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega_1^2 & \omega_1^4 & \dots & \omega_1^{2m} & -\omega_1^2 y_1 & -\omega_1^4 y_1 & -\dots & -\omega_1^{2n} y_1 \\ 1 & \omega_2^2 & \omega_2^4 & \dots & \omega_2^{2m} & -\omega_2^2 y_1 & -\omega_2^4 y_1 & -\dots & -\omega_2^{2n} y_1 \\ \bullet & & & & & & & & \\ \bullet & & & & & & & & \\ 1 & \omega_k^2 & \omega_k^4 & \dots & \omega_k^{2m} & -\omega_k^2 y_1 & -\omega_k^4 y_1 & -\dots & -\omega_k^{2n} y_1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \dots \\ a_m \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \dots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Z} \cdot \mathbf{C}$$

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Z}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$$

Collocation Observations

Fitting an approximating function to a set of data or points (collocation points)


- Closed-form matrix solution for fitting to a rational fraction in ω^2
- Can be useful when somewhat nonstandard approximations are required
- Quite sensitive to collocation points
- Although function is critically constrained, since collocation points are variables, highly under constrained as an optimization approach
- Although fit will be perfect at collocation points, significant deviation can occur close to collocation points
- Inverse mapping to $T_A(s)$ may not exist
- Solution may not exist at specified collocation points

Collocation

What is the major contributor to the limitations observed with the collocation approach?

- Totally dependent upon the value of the desired response at a small but finite set of points (no consideration for anything else)
- Highly dependent upon value of approximating function at a single point or at a small number of points
- Highly dependent upon which points are chosen

The Approximation Problem



Approach we will follow:


- Magnitude Squared Approximating Functions $H_A(\omega^2)$
- Inverse Transform $H_A(\omega^2) \rightarrow T_A(s)$
- Collocation
-  Least Squares
- Pade Approximations
- Other Analytical Optimization
- Numerical Optimization
- Canonical Approximations
 - Butterworth (BW)
 - Chebyshev (CC)
 - Elliptic
 - Thompson

Review from Last Time

Least Squares Approximation

$$H_A(\omega^2) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m a_i \omega^{2i}}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \omega^{2i}}$$

$$\varepsilon_i = H_D(\omega_i) - H_A(\omega_i)$$



Goal is to minimize some metrics associated with ε_i at a large number of points

Some possible cost functions

$$C_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N |\varepsilon_i|$$

$$C_2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_i^2$$

$$C_3 = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \varepsilon_i^2$$

w_i a weighting function

- Reduces emphasis on individual points
- Some much better than others from performance viewpoint
- Some much better than others from computation viewpoint

Review from Last Time

Regression Analysis Review

Consider an n th order polynomial in x

$$F(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$$

Consider N samples of a function $\tilde{F}(x)$

$$\hat{F}(x) = \left\langle \tilde{F}(x_i) \right\rangle_{i=1}^N$$

where the sampling coordinate variables are

$$X = \left\langle x_i \right\rangle_{i=1}^N$$

Define the summed square difference cost function as

$$C = \sum_{i=0}^N \left(F(x_i) - \tilde{F}(x_i) \right)^2$$

A standard regression analysis can be used to minimize C with respect to $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n\}$

To do this, take the $n+1$ partials of C wrt the a_i variables

Review from Last Time

Regression Analysis Review

A few details about regression analysis:

$$\mathbf{X} \bullet \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{F}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{X}^{-1} \bullet \mathbf{F}$$

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} N+1 & \sum_{i=0}^N X_i & \sum_{i=0}^N X_i^2 & \dots & \sum_{i=0}^N X_i^n \\ \sum_{i=0}^N X_i & \sum_{i=0}^N X_i^2 & \dots & \dots & \sum_{i=0}^N X_i^{n+1} \\ \sum_{i=0}^N X_i^2 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \sum_{i=0}^N X_i^{n+2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_{i=0}^N X_i^n & \sum_{i=0}^N X_i^n & \dots & \dots & \sum_{i=0}^N X_i^{2n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \dots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^N \tilde{F}(x_i) \\ \sum_{i=0}^N x_i \tilde{F}(x_i) \\ \sum_{i=0}^N x_i^2 \tilde{F}(x_i) \\ \dots \\ \sum_{i=0}^N x_i^n \tilde{F}(x_i) \end{bmatrix}$$

Regression Analysis Review

$$C = \sum_{i=0}^N \left(F(x_i) - \tilde{F}(x_i) \right)^2 \quad F(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$$

$$C = \sum_{i=0}^N \left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x_i^k - \tilde{F}(x_i) \right)^2$$

$$A = X^{-1} \bullet F$$

Observations about Regression Analysis:

- Closed form solution
- Requires inversion of a (n+1) dimensional square matrix
- Not highly sensitive to any single measurement
- Widely used for fitting a set of data to a polynomial model
- Points need not be uniformly distributed
- Adding weights does not complicate solution

Least Squares Approximations of Transfer Functions

$$T(s) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m a_i s^i}{\sum_{i=0}^n b_i s^i} \quad \text{WLOG } b_0=1$$

$$T(j\omega) = \frac{\left[\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ odd}}}^m (-1)^i a_i \omega^i \right] + \left[\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ even}}}^m (-1)^i a_i \omega^i \right] j}{\left[\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ odd}}}^n (-1)^i b_i \omega^i \right] + \left[\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ even}}}^n (-1)^i b_i \omega^i \right] j}$$

$$|T(j\omega)| = \frac{\sqrt{\left[\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ odd}}}^m (-1)^i a_i \omega^i \right]^2 + \left[\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ even}}}^m (-1)^i a_i \omega^i \right]^2}}{\sqrt{\left[\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ odd}}}^n (-1)^i b_i \omega^i \right]^2 + \left[\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ even}}}^n (-1)^i b_i \omega^i \right]^2}}$$

$|T(j\omega)|$ is highly nonlinear in $\langle a_k \rangle$ and $\langle b_k \rangle$

Least Squares Approximations of Transfer Functions

$$T(s) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m a_i s^i}{\sum_{i=0}^n b_i s^i} \quad \text{WLOG } b_0 = 1$$

$$|T(j\omega)| = \frac{\left[\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ odd}}}^m (-1)^i a_i \omega^i \right]^2 + \left[\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ even}}}^m (-1)^i a_i \omega^i \right]^2}{\left[\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ odd}}}^n (-1)^i b_i \omega^i \right]^2 + \left[\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ even}}}^n (-1)^i b_i \omega^i \right]^2}$$

Consider the natural cost function

$$C = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(|T(j\omega_k)| - \tilde{T}(\omega_k) \right)^2$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_k} \\ \frac{\partial C}{\partial b_k} \end{array} \right\}$$

both are highly nonlinear in $\langle a_k \rangle$ and $\langle b_k \rangle$

Closed form solution for optimal values of $\langle a_k \rangle$ and $\langle b_k \rangle$ does not exist



Least Squares Approximations of Transfer Functions

$$T(s) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m a_i s^i}{\sum_{i=0}^n b_i s^i} \quad \text{WLOG } b_0=1$$

Consider 

$$H_A(\omega^2) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \omega^{2i}}{\sum_{i=0}^n d_i \omega^{2i}}$$

Consider the cost function

$$C = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(H_A(\omega_k^2) - \tilde{H}(\omega_k^2) \right)^2$$

What about the sets of equations $\left\langle \frac{\partial C}{\partial c_k} \right\rangle_{k=1}^m$ and $\left\langle \frac{\partial C}{\partial d_k} \right\rangle_{k=1}^n$

Rewriting the cost function

$$C = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \omega_k^{2i}}{\sum_{i=0}^n d_i \omega_k^{2i}} - \tilde{H}(\omega_k^2) \right)^2 \quad \longrightarrow \quad C = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \omega_k^{2i} - \tilde{H}(\omega_k^2) \sum_{i=0}^n d_i \omega_k^{2i}}{\sum_{i=0}^n d_i \omega_k^{2i}} \right)^2$$

$\left\langle \frac{\partial C}{\partial c_k} \right\rangle_{k=1}^m$ is linear in $\langle c_k \rangle$ $\left\langle \frac{\partial C}{\partial d_k} \right\rangle_{k=1}^n$ is highly nonlinear in $\langle d_k \rangle$

Closed form solution for optimal values of $\langle c_k \rangle$ and $\langle d_k \rangle$ does not exist



Least Squares Approximations of Transfer Functions

$$H_A(\omega^2) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \omega^{2i}}{\sum_{i=0}^n d_i \omega^{2i}}$$

$$C = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(H_A(\omega_k^2) - \tilde{H}(\omega_k^2) \right)^2$$

$$C = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \omega_k^{2i} - \tilde{H}(\omega_k^2) \sum_{i=0}^n d_i \omega_k^{2i}}{\sum_{i=0}^n d_i \omega_k^{2i}} \right)^2$$

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial C}{\partial c_k} \right\rangle_{k=1}^m \text{ is linear in } \langle c_k \rangle \quad \left\langle \frac{\partial C}{\partial d_k} \right\rangle_{k=1}^n \text{ is highly nonlinear in } \langle d_k \rangle$$

But

if $\langle d_k \rangle$ is fixed, optimal value of $\langle c_k \rangle$ can be easily obtained

equivalently,

if poles of $H_A(\omega^2)$ are fixed, optimal value of zeros of $H_A(\omega^2)$ can be easily obtained

Is this observation useful?

Least Squares Approximations of Transfer Functions

$$C = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \omega_k^{2i} - \tilde{H}(\omega_k^2) \sum_{i=0}^n d_i \omega_k^{2i}}{\sum_{i=0}^n d_i \omega_k^{2i}} \right)^2$$

if poles of $H_A(\omega^2)$ are fixed, optimal value of zeros of $H_A(\omega^2)$ can be easily obtained

$$C = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \omega_k^{2i} - \tilde{H}(\omega_k^2) \sum_{i=0}^n \hat{d}_i \omega_k^{2i}}{\sum_{i=0}^n \hat{d}_i \omega_k^{2i}} \right)^2$$

if poles of $H_A(\omega^2)$ are fixed in denominator of C , the partials of C wrt both $\langle c_k \rangle$ and $\langle d_k \rangle$ are linear in $\langle c_k \rangle$ and $\langle d_k \rangle$

Are these observations useful?

- Several optimization approaches can be derived from these observations
- Some will provide a LMS optimization of $H_A(\omega^2)$
- No guarantee that inverse mapping exists
- Some may provide a good approximation even though not truly LMS
- Others may not be useful

Least Squares Approximations of Transfer Functions

$$C = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \omega_k^{2i} - \tilde{H}(\omega_k^2) \sum_{i=0}^n d_i \omega_k^{2i}}{\sum_{i=0}^n d_i \omega_k^{2i}} \right)^2$$

Possible uses of these observations (four algorithms)

1. Guess poles and obtain optimal zero locations
2. Start with a “good” $T(s)$ obtained by any means and improve by selecting optimal zeros
3. Guess poles and then update estimates of both poles and zeros, use new estimate of poles and again update both zeros and poles, continue until convergence or stop after fixed number of iterations
4. Guess poles and obtain optimal zeros. Then invert function and cost $\langle c_i \rangle$ and obtain optimal zeros (which are actually poles). Then invert again and obtain optimal zeros. Process can be repeated. - Weighting may be necessary to de-emphasize stop-band values when working with the inverse function $\langle d_i \rangle$


Least Squares Approximations of Transfer Functions

$$C = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \omega_k^{2i} - \tilde{H}(\omega_k^2) \sum_{i=0}^n d_i \omega_k^{2i}}{\sum_{i=0}^n d_i \omega_k^{2i}} \right)^2$$

Comments/Observations about LMS approximations

1. As with collocation, there is no guarantee that $T_A(s)$ can be obtained from $H_A(\omega^2)$
2. Closed-form analytical solutions exist for some useful mean square based cost functions
3. Any of the LMS cost functions discussed that have an analytical solution can have the terms weighted by a weight w_i . This weight will not change the functional form of the equations but will affect the fit
4. The best choice of sample frequencies is not obvious (both number and location)
5. The LMS cost function is not a natural indicator of filter performance
6. It is often used because more natural indicators are generally not mathematically tractable
7. The LMS approach may provide a good solution for some classes of applications but does not provide a universal solution

The Approximation Problem



Approach we will follow:

- Magnitude Squared Approximating Functions $H_A(\omega^2)$
- Inverse Transform $H_A(\omega^2) \rightarrow T_A(s)$
- Collocation
- Least Squares
- ➔ Pade' Approximations
- Other Analytical Optimization
- Numerical Optimization
- Canonical Approximations
 - Butterworth (BW)
 - Chebyshev (CC)
 - Elliptic
 - Thompson

Pade' Approximations



Henri Eugène Padé (December 17, 1863 – July 9, 1953) was a [French mathematician](#), who is now remembered mainly for his development of [approximation](#) techniques for functions using [rational functions](#).

The Pade' approximations were discussed in his doctoral dissertation in approximately 1890

Pade' Approximations

Consider the polynomial

$$T_D(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i s^i$$

Define the rational fraction $R_{m,n}(s)$ by

$$R_{m,n}(s) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m a_i s^i}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i s^i} = \frac{A(s)}{B(s)}$$

The rational fraction $R_{m,n}(s)$ is said to be a (m,n) th order Pade' approximation of $T_D(s)$ if $T_D(s)B(s)$ agrees with $A(s)$ through the first $m+n+1$ powers of s

Note the Pade' approximation applies to any polynomial with the argument being either real, complex, or even an operator s

Can operate directly on functions in the s -domain

Pade' Approximations

Example

$$T_D(s) = 1 + s + \left(\frac{1}{2!}\right)s^2 + \left(\frac{1}{3!}\right)s^3 + \dots$$

Determine $R_{2,3}(s)$

$$R_{2,3}(s) = \frac{a_0 + a_1s + a_2s^2}{1 + b_0 + b_1s + b_2s^2 + b_3s^3} = \frac{A(s)}{B(s)}$$

setting

$$T_D(s)B(s) = A(s)$$

obtain

$$\left(1 + s + \left(\frac{1}{2!}\right)s^2 + \left(\frac{1}{3!}\right)s^3 + \dots\right)(1 + b_1s + b_2s^2 + b_3s^3) = a_0 + a_1s + a_2s^2$$

Pade' Approximations

Example

$$T_D(s) = 1 + s + \left(\frac{1}{2!}\right)s^2 + \left(\frac{1}{3!}\right)s^3 + \dots$$

$$\left(1 + s + \left(\frac{1}{2!}\right)s^2 + \left(\frac{1}{3!}\right)s^3 + \dots\right)(1 + b_1s + b_2s^2 + b_3s^3) = a_0 + a_1s + a_2s^2$$

$$a_0 = 1$$

$$a_1 = 1 + b_1$$

$$a_2 = b_1 + b_2 + \frac{1}{2!}$$

$$0 = b_2 + b_3 + \frac{b_1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}$$

$$0 = b_3 + \frac{b_2}{2} + \frac{b_1}{6} + \frac{1}{24}$$

$$0 = \frac{b_3}{2} + \frac{b_2}{6} + \frac{b_1}{24} + \frac{1}{5!}$$



$$b_1 = -.6$$

$$b_2 = .15$$

$$b_3 = -.01666$$

$$a_0 = 1$$

$$a_1 = 0.4$$

$$a_2 = .05$$

Pade' Approximations

Example

$$T(s) = \frac{1 + 0.4s + 0.05s^2}{1 - 0.6s + 0.15s^2 - 0.016s^3}$$

$$b_1 = -.6$$

$$b_2 = .15$$

$$b_3 = -.01666$$


$$a_0 = 1$$

$$a_1 = 0.4$$


$$a_2 = .05$$



$T(s)$ has a pair of cc poles in the RHP and is thus unstable!




Poles can be reflected back into the LHP to obtain stability and maintain magnitude response



Pade' Approximations

If $T_A(s)$ is an all pole approximation, then the Pade' approximation of $1/T_A(s)$ is the reciprocal of the Pade' approximation of $T_A(s)$

Pade' approximations can be made for either $T_A(s)$ or $H_A(\omega^2)$.




Is it better to do Pade' approximations of $T_A(s)$ or $H_A(\omega^2)$?

What relationship, if any, exists between $R_{m,n}(s)$ and $\tilde{R}_{m,n}(s)$?

Pade' Approximations

- Useful for order reduction of all-pole or all-zero approximations
- Can map an all-zero approximation to a realizable rational fraction in the s-domain
- Can extend concept to provide order reduction of higher-order rational fraction approximations
- Can always maintain stability or even minimum phase by reflecting any RHP roots back into the LHP
- Pade' approximation is heuristic (no metrics associated with the approach)
- No guarantees about how good the approximations will be

The Approximation Problem



Approach we will follow:

- Magnitude Squared Approximating Functions $H_A(\omega^2)$
- Inverse Transform $H_A(\omega^2) \rightarrow T_A(s)$
- Collocation
- Least Squares
- Pade' Approximations
- ➔ Other Analytical Optimization
- Numerical Optimization
- Canonical Approximations
 - Butterworth (BW)
 - Chebyshev (CC)
 - Elliptic
 - Thompson

Other Analytical Approximations

- Numerous analytical strategies have been proposed over the years for realizing a filter
- Some focus on other characteristics (phase, time-domain response, group delay)
- Almost all based upon real function approximations
- Remember – inverse mapping must exist if a useful function $T(s)$ is to be obtained

Approximations

- Magnitude Squared Approximating Functions – $H_A(\omega^2)$
- Inverse Transform - $H_A(\omega^2) \rightarrow T_A(s)$
- Collocation
- Least Squares Approximations
- Pade Approximations
- Other Analytical Optimizations
- Numerical Optimization
- Canonical Approximations
 - Butterworth
 - Chebyshev
 - Elliptic
 - Bessel
 - Thompson

Numerical Optimization

- Optimization algorithms can be used to obtain approximations in either the s-domain or the real domain
- The optimization problem often has a large number of degrees of freedom ($m+n+1$)

$$T(s) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^m a_k s^k}{1 + \sum_{k=0}^n b_k s^k}$$

- Need a good cost function to obtain good approximation
- Can work on either coefficient domain or root domain or other domains
- Rational fraction approximations inherently vulnerable to local minimums
- Can get very good results

End of Lecture 9